

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,233	EBDRUP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	

**All Participants:**

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Rosemarie R. Wilk-Orescan.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 September 2006

**Time:** PM (E.D.T.)

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 N/A

Claims discussed:  
 17


Prior art documents discussed:  
 N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 Examiner's amendment authorized.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature — if appropriate)